## **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 58-10-12-02-0000

Name: Mattituck-Cutchogue Union Free School District

Superintendent: Kenney W. Aldrich

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	103	103	102
First	106	106	115
Second	124	124	100
Third	135	135	110
Fourth	121	121	126
Fifth	137	137	135
Sixth	133	133	133
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	128	139	139
Eighth	110	133	141
Ninth	111	103	127
Tenth	122	115	102
Eleventh	95	114	115
Twelfth	122	95	118
Ungraded Secondary	0	4	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1547	1562	1563

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	200	01–02 2002		2–03	2003	3–04
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	13	0.8%	12	0.8%	10	0.6%
Black (Not Hispanic)	38	2.5%	39	2.5%	33	2.1%
Hispanic	23	1.5%	26	1.7%	25	1.6%
White (Not Hispanic)	1473	95.2%	1485	95.1%	1495	95.6%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Avei age Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	21	16	17						
Common Branch	21	20	20						
English Grade 8	18	22	21						
Mathematics Grade 8	21	21	20						
Science Grade 8	16	21	24						
Social Studies Grade 8	17	22	24						
English Grade 10	20	19	19						
Mathematics Grade 10	29	17	19						
Science Grade 10	22	17	16						
Social Studies Grade 10	21	19	23						

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district
0	resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	200	2001-02		2002-03		3–04
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	18	1.2%	16	1.0%	24	1.5%
Eligible for Free Lunch	35	2.3%	38	2.4%	36	2.3%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.2%		94.4%		94.8%
Student Suspensions	52	3.4%	47	3.0%	49	3.1%

## Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	0.0%	1.6%	2.3%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	143
Total Other Professional Staff	20
Total Paraprofessionals	39
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

			0 0							
	2001-02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	106	81	76%	80	63	79%	102	81	79%	
Students with Disabilities	6	2	33%	9	1	11%	7	1	14%	
All Students	112	83	74%	89	64	72%	109	82	75%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	65	37	0	2	5	0
Percent	60%	34%	0%	2%	5%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
7	1	4	11

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	200	1–02	2002-03		200	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	3		4		2	0.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	3		4		2	0.5%
Students	Dropped Out	2		3		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		3		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	5	1.1%	7	1.6%	2	0.4%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	5	1.1%	7	1.6%	2	0.4%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4-12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	257
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	23
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	280
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	68%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	430
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	32
Y-12	Number of All Students	0	0	462
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	100%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Buoguam	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	200	2001–02		2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	25	100%	19	100%	17	100%	
German	34	97%	15	93%	23	87%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	58	97%	67	99%	75	95%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%	
German	1	#	1	#	1	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	2	#	2	#	5	80%	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Science	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Students with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	5	80%	9	89%	6	100%			
Science	5	60%	5	100%	7	86%			
Reading	7	100%	5	80%	0	0%			
Writing	6	83%	3	#	1	#			
Global Studies	3	#	3	#	1	#			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	5	80%	7	71%	0	0%			

(Form - E)

	regents	LAum	mations	,		
		All Students	S	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	94	143	105	9	7	4
Number Scoring 55–100	85	138	104	6	4	#
Number Scoring 65–100	76	128	101	4	3	#
Number Scoring 85–100	38	69	55	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	97%	99%	67%	57%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	90%	96%	44%	43%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	48%	52%	0%	0%	#
	M	athematics A	•		•	•
Number Tested	128	97	104	8	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	118	96	104	5	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	109	91	102	3	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	56	33	56	1	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	99%	100%	62%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	94%	98%	38%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	44%	34%	54%	12%	#	0%
1 ordering of 1 october 5		athematics B	0.70	12,0		0,0
Number Tested	0	81	81	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	74	80	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	63	79	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	22	34	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	91%	99%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	78%	98%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	27%	42%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo				
Number Tested	127	117	107	11	6	7
Number Scoring 55–100	125	110	103	11	5	6
Number Scoring 65–100	118	105	99	8	2	5
Number Scoring 85–100	61	58	47	1	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	94%	96%	100%	83%	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	90%	93%	73%	33%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	50%	44%	9%	17%	14%
		ory and Gover				
Number Tested	99	114	116	7	8	4
Number Scoring 55–100	94	112	114	6	7	#
Number Scoring 65–100	83	105	111	3	4	#
Number Scoring 85–100	31	56	72	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	98%	98%	86%	88%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	92%	96%	43%	50%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	49%	62%	0%	0%	#
	21,0	.,,,	U = / U		U / U	

 $\frac{\pi}{(\text{Form} - \text{F})}$ 

	All Students				nts with Disa	hilities
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04
	•	g Environme		2001 02	2002 02	2002 01
Number Tested	113	88	110	10	3	6
Number Scoring 55–100	112	88	110	9	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	109	86	109	7	#	6
Number Scoring 85–100	35	35	60	0	#	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	90%	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	98%	99%	70%	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	40%	55%	0%	#	17%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	107	80	108	3	2	4
Number Scoring 55–100	107	80	108	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	106	79	107	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	54	47	44	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	99%	99%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	50%	59%	41%	#	#	#
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	56	107	80	1	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	55	103	79	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	44	85	69	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	9	12	13	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	96%	99%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	79%	86%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	16%	11%	16%	#	#	#
	Physica	l Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			43			0
Number Scoring 55–100			42			0
Number Scoring 65–100			40			0
Number Scoring 85–100			16			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			98%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			93%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			37%			0%

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Kegents	6 Exami	nauons			
		All Students	3	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Comp	rehensive Fre	nch			
Number Tested	0	6	15	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	6	15	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	6	15	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	4	15	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	67%	100%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	_		
Number Tested	52	11	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	52	11	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	51	11	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	23	11	5	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	44%	100%	38%	0%	0%	0%
	Compi	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compi	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	42	7	25	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	41	7	25	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	35	7	25	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	14	2	22	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	29%	88%	0%	#	0%
	Comp	rehensive La				_
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04			
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	78	1	0	3	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	72	#	0	#	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	70	#	0	#	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	38	#	0	#	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	#	0%	#	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	#	0%	#	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	49%	#	0%	#	0%	0%			

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	No. Tested % Passing		2002	2-03	2003–04		
			No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	15	100%	7	86%	14	100%	
Students with Disabilities	4	#	6	100%	2	#	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	125	2%	4%	64%	30%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	13	46%	8%	46%	0%
	All Students	138	6%	4%	62%	28%

### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	131	0%	15%	60%	25%
<b>June 2004</b>	Students with Disabilities	11	9%	55%	36%	0%
	All Students	142	1%	18%	58%	23%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	1	0	#	#	#	#			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	105	105	105	8	8	8	113	113	113
Number Scoring 55–64	0	2	1	1	2	1	1	4	2
Number Scoring 65–84	48	44	44	4	2	5	52	46	49
Number Scoring 85–100	57	56	60	2	0	0	59	56	60
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students		Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04			
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)									
Number Tested		Ŭ I	6			1			
Beginning (0–18)			1			#			
Intermediate (19–31)			2			#			
Advanced (32–36)			2			#			
Proficient (37–39)			1			#			
	Readi	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1)	)					
Number Tested			6			1			
Beginning (0–14)			1			#			
Intermediate (15–24)			4			#			
Advanced (25–32)			0			#			
Proficient (33–35)			1			#			
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	1)					
Number Tested			5			0			
Beginning (0–18)			2			0			
Intermediate (19–31)			1			0			
Advanced (32–36)			2			0			
Proficient (37–39)			0			0			
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)	1					
Number Tested			5			0			
Beginning (0–14)			2			0			
Intermediate (15–24)			2			0			
Advanced (25–32)			1			0			
Proficient (33–35)			0			0			
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>6)</u>					
Number Tested			7			0			
Beginning (0–18)			0			0			
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0			
Advanced (32–36)			1			0			
Proficient (37–39)			4			0			
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 5–6)			r			
Number Tested			7			0			
Beginning (0–14)			0			0			
Intermediate (15–24)			3			0			
Advanced (25–32)			3			0			
Proficient (33–35)			1			0			

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

	All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)		1	
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ling and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)	)			
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			3			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)			
Number Tested			3			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)